REMARKS

Claims 1-23 were pending in the parent application as originally filed. By this

Preliminary Amendment, claims 24-55 have been added to further define the present invention.

No new matter has been added.

Enclosed is a check in the amount of \$327.00 for the thirty-two (32) additional claims in excess of twenty (20) and one (1) additional independent claim in excess of three (3). It is believed that no additional fee is deemed necessary for entry of this Preliminary Amendment and claims herewith. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees required or debit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0297.

This Preliminary Amendment places the claims in better condition for examination and subsequent Notice of Allowance, the early notification of which is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

WHITMAN BREED ABBOTT & MORGAN LLP

Attorneys for Applicants

By:

Barry Evans

Registration No. 22,802

John E. Boyd

Registration No. 38,055

(212) 351-3305



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Moy et al.

Serial No.

09/607,126

Filed

June 29, 2000

For

PROCESS FOR PRODUCING SINGLE WALL NANOTUBES USING UNSUPPORTED METAL CATALYSTS AND SINGLE WALL NANOTUBES PRODUCED ACCORDING TO THIS METHOD

Art Unit

1754

Examiner

Stuart L. Hendrickson

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on August 20, 2002

4

Gerard Bilotto, Reg. No. P-51, 474

Name of Applicant, Assignee or Registered

Representative

Signature

August 20, 2002

Date of Signature

RECEIVED

SEP 0 5 2002

TC 1700

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR § 1.111

Marked up version

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This is the marked up version of the specification and claims amended in response to the Official Action mailed February 22, 2002.

IN THE SPECIFICATION

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.121(b)(1) please replace the following paragraphs as indicated; the changes for additions are underlined and deletions are in square brackets.

On page 2 of the specification, first full paragraph, lines 5-12:

Carbon fibrils were seen to originate from a metal catalyst particle which, in the presence of a hydrocarbon containing gas, became supersaturated in carbon. A cylindrical ordered graphitic core is extruded which immediately became coated with an outer layer of pyrolytically deposited graphite. These fibrils with a pyrolytic overcoat typically have diameters in excess of 0.1µ. [(Obelm, A. and Endo, M., J.Crystal Growth, 32:335-349 (1976).)] (Oberlin, A. and Endo, M., J. Crystal Growth, 32:335-349 (1976)).

On page 4 of the specification, first full paragraph, lines 4-11:

Multi-walled carbon nanotubes of a morphology similar to the catalytically grown fibrils described above have been grown in a high temperature carbon arc [(Iijima, Nature 354 56 1991)] (Iijima, Nature 354:56 1991). (Iijima also describes in a later publication arc-grown single-walled nanotubes having only a single layer of carbon arranged in the form of linear Fullerene.) It is now generally accepted [(Weaver, Science 265 1994)] (Weaver, Science 265: 1994) that these arc-grown nanofibers have the same morphology as the earlier catalytically grown fibrils of Tennet.

On page 5 of the specification, first full paragraph, lines 4-12:

Smalley (Thess, A., Lee, R., Nikolaev, P., Dai, H., Petit, P., Robert, J., Xu, C., Lee, Y.H., Kim, S.G., Rinzler, A.G., Colbert, D.T., Scuseria, G.E., Tonárek, D., Fischer, J.E.,

, L

and Smalley, R.E., Science, 273: 483-487 (1996)) also describes a process for production of single-walled carbon nanotubes in which a graphite rod containing a small amount of transition metal is laser vaporized in an oven at about [-1200°C] 1200°C. Single-wall nanotubes were reported to be produced in yields of more than 70%.

On page 5 of the specification, second full paragraph, lines 13-17:

Each of the techniques described above employs [(1)] solid carbon as the carbon feedstock. These techniques are inherently disadvantageous. Specifically, solid carbon vaporization via electric arc or laser apparatus is costly and difficult to operate on the commercial or industrial scale.

IN THE CLAIMS

14. (Amended) The method of claim 13, wherein said volatile iron compound is [ferocene] ferrocene.

Respectfully submitted,

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP Attorneys for Applicants 919 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022

By:

Barry Evans, Reg. No. 22,802 Gerard Bilotto, Reg. No. P-51,474

Phone: (212) 715-9100 Fax: (212) 715-8000



EXHIBIT A

Preliminary Amendment and copy of check

(filed June 29, 2000)

RECEIVED

SEP 5 - 2002

TC 1700

ORKGINALLY FILED